

In the Court of Common Pleas
Tuscarawas County, Ohio
General Trial Division

Plaintiff,
vs.

Defendant.

:
: Case No. _____
:
: Judge _____
:
:
: **Rule 26(F) Conference Report and**
: **Proposed Discovery Plan**
:
:
:

The Civ. R. 26(F) conference was held on _____. The attorneys and self-represented parties signing below represent that they engaged in a meaningful attempt to meet and confer on the matters outlined below, understanding the court may enter or amend a case scheduling order in reliance on this report.

Points of discussion:

- 1. The parties discussed the nature of their claims and defenses.
- 2. The parties discussed the possibilities for settling or resolving the case.
- 3. The parties made or arranged for disclosures required by Civ. R. 26(B)(3)(i) through (iv).
- 4. The parties discussed any issues that exist about preserving discoverable

information.

- 5. The parties created a Proposed Discovery Plan and those elements include:

- 6. The parties believe that the court's current scheduling order, if one exists, is:

- adequate, or
- needs to be revised in the following manner:

- 7. The parties wish for the court to be aware of other issues:

Plaintiff

Defendant

Plaintiff's Attorney

Defendant's Attorney